

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/795,987	03/10/2004	Fumiyuki Suzuki	Q78014	2238
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 08/28/2007 ION. PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	RONESI, VICKEY M		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1714	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/795,987	SUZUKI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Vickey Ronesi	1714		

	Vickey Ronesi	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3.   The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)   They raise new issues that would require further co (b)   They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		; ;	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>see attachment</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4	· · ·	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
<ul> <li>7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to:</li> <li>Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 2.</li> <li>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:</li> </ul>		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Art Unit: 1714

## Attachment to Advisory Action

Applicants' amendment filed on 8/21/2007 has been fully considered; however, the amendment has <u>not</u> been entered given that it introduces the issue of new matter and raises other new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

With respect to the issue of new matter, claim 1 recites "a molded article having an impact strength higher than one having the same components which had not been surface treated with a coupling agent. It is the examiner's position that this phrase fails to satisfy the written description requirement of 35 USC 112, first paragraph since there does not appear to be a written description requirement of the phrase in the application as originally filed, *In re Wright*, 866 F.2d 422, 9 USPQ2d 1649 (Fed. Cir. 1989) and MPEP 2163. The examiner has not found any support for this phraseology in the specification as originally filed. While there is support for "the resulting resin molded product enjoyed high impact strength" when glass balloons are added on page 12, lines 1-4 of the specification, no support is found for a relatively higher impact strength for a composition with a glass balloon that has been surface treated compared to an untreated glass balloon.

With respect to other new issues, claims 1 and 2 have been amended so that they require further consideration and/or search. Specifically, claim 1 has been amended to recite that the resin molded article is injection molded and that the molded article has specific impact strength properties. Claim 2 has been amended to narrow the range of the amounts of polylactic acid, glass fiber, and hollow glass balloon.

8/22/2007 Vickey Ronesi



Application/Control Number: 10/795,987

Art Unit: 1714

Page 3

/Vasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700